

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/668,781	09/22/2003	Syed F.A. Hossainy	50623.333	3294	
7590 04/18/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Paul J. Meyer, Jr. Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P. Suite 300 1 Maritime Plaza			HO, UYEN T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3731	10	
San Francisco,	CA 94111		DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006	DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			HOSSAINY ET AL.				
		10/668,781 Examiner	Art Unit				
			3731				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	<u> </u>	•			
Period fo							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communical D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			·	•			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 M	arch 2006.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213,				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>38 and 40-43</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>38 and 40-43</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		٠			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See.37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	raminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152	•			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C, § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/28/06 has been entered.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 38, 40-43 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,656,216. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they

contain the same subject matters or the claimed subject matter of the application is an obvious variant of the claimed invention of the patent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 38, 40-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strecker (6,645,241) in view of Scott et al. (5,383,928). Strecker discloses a stent-graft for delivering medication into a body lumen, the stent-graft comprising a body having first end and second end and a middle segment, wherein the first and second ends comprising biodegradable polymer cuffs impregnated with drug.

Regarding to claim 38, 40, 41 and 43, although, Strecker do not disclose the polymer cuffs including a plurality of strips and the stent being made from material have a modulus of elasticity higher than the biodegradable polymer of the cuffs, Scott et al. disclose a stent-graft for delivering drug into a body lumen wherein the stent has graft/cuffs that cover the entire stent or at the ends of the stent and suggest that the graft/cuffs can be made as sleeve, a plurality of strips (col. 6, lines 37-48). It is well known in the art that stent being made from superelastic alloy and superelastic alloy having the modulus of elasticity higher than the biodegradable polymer as disclosed in Strecker reference.

Art Unit: 3731

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the stent of Strecker from well known material in the art such as superelastic alloy in order enhance the placement of the stent. Regarding the cuffs comprising a plurality of strips since applicants have not disclosed that the cuffs comprising a plurality of strips or the stent being made from the known material in art solve any stated problem or for any particular purpose it would appear that the stent having a sleeve carrying drug at the ends of the stent serves equally well with the stent having a plurality of strips carrying drug at the ends of the stent.

Regarding to claim 42, although, Strecker in view of Scott et al. do not disclose the bioabsorbable material as claimed, the bioabsorbable materials as claimed are well known in the art to made graft or for carrying drug. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the bioabsorbable material of Strecker in view of Scott et al. doing so would amount to mere substitution of one material for another within the same art that perform equally well in Strecker in view of Scott et al.'s stent-graft.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is 571-272-4696. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANHTUAN NGUYEN can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tan-Uyen T Ho Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

April 13, 2006